

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,549	07/24/2003	Francois Le Maner	Q71800	8208	
23373 7590 11/10/2005			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			KEASEL, ERIC S		
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N	i.w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		3754		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The	
-----	--

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/625,549	MANER, FRANCOIS LE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Keasel	3754	

	Eric Keasel	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered I	herause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· – · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	3				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•	/				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):·	•	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	illowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	M will not be entered or b) []	ill ha autored and an					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 7-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10.	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	D 4 NO V 2005				
		Eric Keasel	- 7 100 V 200S				
		Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 3754

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the independent claims have been amended to change the scope of the claims, which would require further consideration. Applicant has also proposed adding 7 claims and only cancelling 2.